BPJ NEW (/bpj-e/)





Home (/) Latest Articles (/latest.aspx)
Activities (../Controls/#resourcesMenu)

Browse By Category (../Controls/#catlistMenu)

Log In (/mybpac)

Dermatology (/category.aspx?CategoryId=15)

Oncology (/category.aspx?CategoryId=25)

Reducing the burden of melanoma in New Zealand Part 1:



Prevention and risk assessment



Limiting UV radiation exposure through sun smart behaviour is the key to preventing melanoma. However, incidence and mortality rates for melanoma in New Zealand are among the highest in the world, and no substantial decreases have been reported over time. Identifying patients with a high risk of melanoma is therefore essential to target those who will benefit from increased surveillance alongside emphasis of "sun smart" principles. An online melanoma risk predictor calculator has been developed to meet this need, providing a simple to use tool for evaluating risk in asymptomatic patients.





burden

of

melanoma

in

New

Zealand

Part

1:



Prevention

and

risk

assessment&body=Hi

there,%0D%0A%0D%0AI

think

you

would

be

interested

in

this

article

from

the

bpacnz

website,

'Reducing

the

burden

of

melanoma

in

New

Zealand

Part

1:

Prevention

and

risk

assessment'.%0D%0A%0D%0AYou






can

go

to



part1.aspx

to

read

it.)

SHARE



download / print version (/2020/docs/melanoma-part1.pdf)



Reducing the burden of melanoma in New Zealand Part

1: Prevention and risk assessment

You are reading this

(/2020/melanoma-part1.aspx)



Reducing the burden of melanoma in New Zealand Part 2: Early detection of melanoma



(/2020/melanoma-part2.aspx)

Published: 17 January 2020

Key practice points:

- UV radiation exposure is the only modifiable risk factor for melanoma
- Studies show that people in New Zealand inconsistently follow the
 principles of sun smart behaviour ("slip, slop, slap and wrap"),
 therefore individuals or groups at high risk of melanoma need to be
 reminded about the importance of these practices, e.g. outdoor
 workers, other people that spend a lot of time outdoors, and anyone
 with fair skin
- A melanoma risk predictor calculator is now available for practices
 who use the bestpractice Decision Support software; the tool
 identifies a patient's five-year risk of melanoma (excluding those with
 a personal history of melanoma) and based on the result offers
 recommendations for guiding a discussion around sun smart
 behaviour, self-checks and the frequency of clinical review





Rates of melanoma are unacceptably high in New Zealand

New Zealand has one of the highest age-standardised incidence rates of melanoma in the world, occurring in approximately 35 to 40 people per 100,000 population, each year.^{1, 2} Despite being less common than other skin cancers, e.g. basal cell and squamous cell carcinomas, four out of five skin cancer-related deaths in New Zealand are caused by melanoma.^{1, 3} The mortality rate from melanoma has not substantially decreased over time, with around four to five deaths per 100,000 population each year for the past 30 years.¹ In 2016, there were 363 deaths from melanoma.¹

Although melanoma can occur in areas of the body not exposed to the sun, UV radiation is estimated to cause the majority of melanomas by directly damaging melanocyte DNA in the skin.⁴ In New Zealand, the strength of UV radiation, i.e. its UV Index (UVI) or capacity to cause sunburn, is approximately 40% higher than for similar latitudes in the northern hemisphere.⁴ This difference is potentially due to factors such as the angle of the sun relative to the horizon, lack of major atmospheric pollution, lower ozone levels, and the tilt of the earth.⁴ People with lighter skin tones are more susceptible to developing skin cancers as their melanocytes produce less melanin, which functions in part to protect the skin against the damaging effects of UV radiation. Rates of melanoma are over five times higher in New Zealand Europeans compared with Māori and Pacific peoples.⁴

Melanoma broadly accounts for 10% of all national cancer registrations, however, the ratios of invasive: *in situ* notifications vary substantially by District Health Board (DHB), suggesting potential differences in UV exposure, patient presentation, physician identification, biopsy and/or reporting practices (pathologists vary in their interpretation of atypical melanocytic lesions). ^{1, 4}





(/)

Primary prevention is achieved by limiting UV radiation exposure

All people in New Zealand should follow "sun smart" principles as UV radiation exposure is the only modifiable risk factor for melanoma (also see: "Risk calculation helps target sun smart messaging and improves surveillance"). The "slip, slop, slap and wrap" messaging aims to limit UV exposure through: 5

- Avoidance of direct sunlight during times of peak UV radiation levels;
 particularly from 10 am to 4 pm between September and April
- Protection of exposed areas of skin using appropriate, densely-woven clothing, e.g. long-sleeved shirts, wide brimmed or flapped hats, UVprotective sunglasses (ideally wrap around style)
- Application of broad-spectrum water-resistant sunscreen (at least SPF30* and preferably SPF50+); it is recommended that sunscreen is applied 20 minutes before going outside, reapplied 10–20 minutes after going outside (the "two coat" approach), and every two hours after that[†]

Unnecessary UV radiation exposure via artificial tanning device-use, e.g. sunbeds, should be avoided unless under medical supervision for certain health conditions, e.g. psoriasis, as this increases the risk of melanoma.⁵

Sun protection is most important for people at risk of UV over-exposure. While sun smart precautions are important for everybody, they may need to be further emphasised with certain groups who have a greater risk of UV

^{*} SPF stands for "sun protection factor", which is a measure of the amount of UV radiation required to cause sunburn on sunscreen protected skin, relative to unprotected skin, i.e. SPF30 means that 1/30th of the UV radiation will reach the skin. The greater the SPF value, the lower the probability of sunburn.

[†] See "Selecting and applying an appropriate sunscreen" for a discussion on the practical considerations relating to sunscreen use in New Zealand.



over-exposure, such as people with occupations or hobbies that mean they spend a lot of time outdoors, those with fair skin or a tendency to burn and youngeripeople who may be less likely to adhere to sun smart behaviour and have a greater lifetime cumulative risk of UV exposure events.^{4, 6}



Adherence to sun smart practices in New Zealand is suboptimal.

Evidence suggests that people in New Zealand inconsistently apply sun smart principles. A recent study found that the observed use of protective clothing (4.3%) and shade (10.7%) during periods of high UV exposure is low.*7 In addition, people often do not apply sufficient quantities of sunscreen to achieve the advertised SPF.⁸ The internationally accepted standard for testing sunscreen involves a thickness of 2 mg/cm², while the average thickness of sunscreen used by consumers is approximately 0.39-1.0 mg/m².⁸ This may explain in part why the incidence and mortality rates for melanoma on the New Zealand national cancer registry have not decreased since the inception of public health campaigning over 25 years ago. These findings demonstrate a clear need to remind people about the importance of sun smart behaviour.

Selecting and applying an appropriate sunscreen

The minimum requirement for sunscreen is SPF30, which blocks more than 96% of UV radiation, but use of SPF50+ is preferable, which blocks 98% or more of UV radiation. ⁵ No sunscreen completely blocks UV radiation. Approximately one teaspoon of sunscreen (for an averaged sized adult) should be applied to each of the following areas if exposed:⁵

- The face/ears/neck
- Each arm/hand, i.e. two teaspoons in total across both
- Each leg/foot, i.e. two teaspoons in total across both
- The front of the torso

^{*} Based on 2,635 people observed between 10 am-4 pm at 16 outdoor recreational facilities in the Wellington region (on Thursdays, Fridays, Saturdays and Sundays between September 2014 and April 2015). The average UVI was 6.2 (high risk of skin damage).

The back of the torso





Sunscreans are currently classified as cosmetics and therefore are not subject to the same rigorous requirements as therapeutic products. Consequently, some sunscreens do not meet their claimed standard of SPF protection. 9 Consumers should therefore make informed decisions about the product they choose to purchase to ensure that it provides sufficient UV protection (see link below). The New Zealand 2019–2029 Cancer Action Plan notes that in the long-term, consideration will be given to including primary sunscreens (i.e. products designed solely as a sunscreen with a SPF of 4 or more) in the proposed Therapeutic Products Bill. 10

For a 2019 consumer report on sunscreens in New Zealand, see: www.consumer.org.nz/articles/sunscreens/know-the-issue (http://www.consumer.org.nz/articles/sunscreens/know-the-issue)

Encourage people to monitor the UV index and be sun smart even on cloudy days

Many people only apply sunscreen in the summer if it is a "blue sky" day or it feels "hot". However, sunburn is possible year-round even with cloud cover. As such, anticipating exposure to high levels of UV radiation is important, particularly for people with fair skin who have an increased susceptibility to sunburn and melanoma.

Sun smart behaviour is recommended when the UVI is three or above.

UVI levels are estimated based on the time of year and time of day, as well as the latitude, amount of ozone in the atmosphere, amount of cloud cover and reflection from surfaces such as concrete. A UVI of three indicates there is a moderate risk of skin damage; UVI levels can reach 13 in some parts of New Zealand during December and January (extreme risk). Online services provide daily location-specific UVI levels and corresponding advice, including:

• Sun protection alerts: www.sunsmart.org.nz/sun-protection-alert (http://www.sunsmart.org.nz/sun-protection-alert)



NIWA: www.niwa.co.nz/UV-forecasts (http://www.niwa.co.nz/UV-forecasts)



- The uv2Day and GlobalUV (free) smartphone apps: www.niwa.co.nz/node/111461 (http://www.niwa.co.nz/node/111461)
 - UVLens: http://uvlens.com (http://uvlens.com) (New Zealand and worldwide)

Sun exposure is still important to support vitamin D synthesis

Sun exposure is the primary source of vitamin D for most people.⁴ As such, sun smart behaviour does not mean avoiding the sun altogether, and there is no evidence that regular use of sunscreen increases the risk of vitamin D deficiency.

For an overview regarding vitamin D and sun exposure recommendations (2012), see:

www.health.govt.nz/system/files/documents/publications/vitamind-sunexposure.pdf

(http://www.health.govt.nz/system/files/documents/publications/vitamind-sun-exposure.pdf)

Risk calculation helps target sun smart messaging and improves surveillance

There is currently no evidence to support a national screening programme for melanoma.¹¹ As such, a more targeted approach is recommended to identify people at high risk, further emphasise sun smart messaging and tailor surveillance according to their probability of developing melanoma within a specific timeframe.^{6, 12}

A number of risk factors have been identified that influence a person's risk of developing melanoma (Table 1).⁴





Table 1. Risk factors for melanoma. ^{2,4,13,14}

Risk factor	Explanation
Age	The risk of melanoma increases with age, likely
	due to an increased probability of intermittent
	UV overexposure events. However, younger
	people are still considered a priority for
	prevention advice as they are more likely to
	have greater UV exposure due to sun-seeking
	behaviours and increased participation in
	outdoor activities. While melanoma may not
	present initially in these younger people,
	tumours may develop years after UV
	overexposure.
Sex/gender	Overall, males have a higher risk of melanoma
	than females, other than in the under 50 years
	age group (although rates are low overall in this
	population). Males are more likely to have
	lesions on their trunk, while females are more
	likely to have them on their legs. Differences are
	likely due to a combination of behavioural and
	biological factors.
Personal or family	A number of genetic mutations increase the risk
history of melanoma	of developing melanoma. People who have a
	first-degree family member with a history of
	melanoma are twice as likely to develop
	melanoma than those without. A personal
	history of melanoma increases the risk of new
	melanoma ten times, and a personal history of
	non-melanoma skin cancer doubles the risk.
Eye/hair colour	In general, people with blue/grey eyes or
	blonde/red hair have a higher risk of melanoma
	than people with brown eyes and dark hair.



Skin colour/type

(೨ ೨ (೧೯६ tanning) better medicine



The risk of skin cancer is influenced by the amount of melanin produced by melanocytes; more melanin results in greater protection against UV radiation. Therefore, people with darker skin* have a considerably lower risk of melanoma compared to those with "fair" skin or skin that burns easily.

The Fitzpatrick skin type system is one method of identifying people requiring increased sun protection based on their level of melanin pigmentation. With this system, skin types I and II are considered to have a high risk of sun damage:

- Type I pale white skin, blue/green eyes,
 blonde/red hair always burns, does not tan
- Type II fair skin, blue eyes burns easily, tans poorly
- Type III darker white skin tans after initial burn
- Type IV light brown skin burns minimally, tans easily
- Type V brown skin rarely burns, tans darkly easily
- Type VI dark brown or black skin never burns, always tans darkly

Immune suppression

A weakened immune system can increase the risk of melanoma, e.g. due to HIV, leukaemia, immunosuppressant medicine use

Number of moles

Approximately one-quarter of melanomas develop from pre-existing moles (melanocytic naevi), where there is a localised proliferation of melanocytes. Both genetic factors and UV exposure influence the development of moles. High numbers of moles (>100) and large/dysplastic moles (>5) increase the risk of


melanoma.

Type of moles



Geographic location

better medicine






Ultraviolet radiation exposure

- History of sunburn
- Intermittent UV overexposure
- Outdoor employment/leisure
- Sunbed use

UV radiation from the sun (and tanning devices) is the primary cause of the uncontrolled melanocyte proliferation seen in melanoma. New Zealand has relatively high levels of UV radiation compared to other countries. Given that a number of factors relating to UV exposure are modifiable, these represent a core focus of prevention strategies.



^{*} There are no systematic investigations linking ethnicity to skin type in New Zealand, and Māori and Pacific peoples, as with all ethnic groups, have heterogeneity in skin type. However, at a population level there are obvious differences, with melanoma rates being over five times higher in those of European descent compared with Māori and Pacific peoples. Additional genetic factors beyond melanin production likely also play a role in melanoma risk for different ethnicities.

A Melanoma Risk Predictor Tool is now available for use in primary care

A melanoma risk prediction tool has been developed by BPAC Clinical Solutions in collaboration with Dr Mary Jane Sneyd (University of Otago) and the Health Promotion Agency. It is available within the nationally-funded *bestpractice* Decision Support Suite of products. The risk calculator was initially based on data from a case-control study of people in New Zealand with and without melanoma (388 cases and 270 controls), which was then updated and validated using new data from a study including

1.010 patients with melanoma and 688 people without melanoma. 15 It is




designed for assessment of asymptomatic people aged 30 years and older, with no history of melanoma, and with fair, medium or olive skin.




The tool takes less than five minutes to use. Patients who have had a melanoma or melanoma *in situ* should be excluded. Risk calculation should be considered opportunistically for patients with obvious risk factors, i.e. fair skin, frequent sunburn, large amounts of time spent outdoors. In addition, risk assessment may also be appropriate for asymptomatic patients who are concerned about their melanoma risk. A range of basic demographics is auto-populated and the number of additional questions patients need to answer depends on their sex (Figure 1).

The results should help frame a conversation about melanoma prevention and detection. This tool can help estimate an individual's probability of developing melanoma over five-years, stratifying them into either low, moderate, high or very high-risk categories. Based on the resulting risk assessment, guidance is provided to aid clinical decision-making and to facilitate an informed discussion about melanoma (Figure 1). This advice may range from simply reiterating the importance of UV radiation protection and self-checking, through to specific recommendations for the frequency of full body checks in primary care. Given that melanoma risk increases with age, calculations should ideally be repeated every five to ten years.







(https://www.bestpractice.org.nz/nl_login.asp?)

Figure 1. An example output provided by the Melanoma Risk Predictor Tool

To access the Melanoma Risk Calculator module, log on to bestpractice Decision Support from your patient management system; the risk calculator is located within the dermatology module. If your practice does not currently have access to *bestpractice* Decision Support, or you have any problems accessing the risk calculator, contact BPAC



Clinical Solutions here: https://bpacsolutions.co.nz/contact/







(/)

Acknowledgement

Thank you to **Dr Amanda Oakley**, Adjunct Associate Professor and Consultant Dermatologist, Waikato DHB for expert review of this article.

N.B. Expert reviewers do not write the articles and are not responsible for the final content.





New comment features

Discussion

There are currently no comments for this article.

Please login to make a comment.







bpac^{nz} advocates for best practice in healthcare treatments and investigations across a wide range of health service delivery areas, and we are recognised nationally and internationally for our expertise and innovation.

Post

P.O. Box 6032 DUNEDIN 9059

Phone

03 477 5418


- Articles (/)
- Mybpac (/mybpac)
- About (/about.aspx)
- **₽** FAQ (/faq.aspx)
- ▼ Contact (/contact.aspx)
- Permissions & reprints (/permissions-reprints.aspx)
- Subscribe to our emails
 (https://confirmsubscription.com/h/d/E7621FF6EBB3FED4)

Follow us on facebook (https://www.facebook.com/bpacnz)

Follow us on twitter (https://twitter.com/bpacnz)









by the bpac^{nz} team

Partner links



(/rx)



(https://www.inpractice.org.nz/)



(http://bpacsolutions.co.nz/)



(http://nzformulary.org/)



(http://southlinkgp.co.nz/)



(https://locum.org.nz/)



(https://ratagp.co.nz)